

☒ SECRET☐ CONFIDENTIAL☐ UNCLASSIFIEDApproved For Release 2005/02/17 : CIA-RDP78B04767A000300010017-8
CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT 25X1A

TO:

Chief, ☐ TSSG/NPIC
25X1A

DATE

5 January 1970

INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)

Final

ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE

N/A

25X1A

25X1A

TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE

Image Analysis Support Contract

THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE

☐

YES

☐

NO

N/A

PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED - 100%

PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED - 100%

THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS ☐ YES ☐ NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.

N/A

HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? ☒ YES ☐ NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)

INCENTIVES

IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT
IF YES, CHECK TYPE☐

YES

☒

NO

☐

COST

☐AWARD
FEE☐

PERFORMANCE

☐

DELIVERY

NOTE:

USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS.

FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.

OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR

1. ☐ OUTSTANDING4. ☒ ABOVE AVERAGE7. ☐ UNSATISFACTORY2. ☐ EXCELLENT5. ☐ AVERAGE3. ☒ VERY GOOD6. ☐ MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE

IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

☐

CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED

☐WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING
SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE☒

CLOSE OUT

☐

OTHER (Specify)

IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVERSE IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:

ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MANUALS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FINAL REPORT	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SPECIAL TOOLING		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR

2 January 1970

SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

DIVISION

INSPECTOR'S EXTENSION

SIGNATURE OF APPROVER

DECLASS REVIEW BY NGA / DoD

Approved For Release 2005/02/17 : CIA-RDP78B04767A000300010017-8

☐ SECRET

☐ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2005/02/17 : CIA-RDP78B04767A000300010017-8

NARRATIVE REPORT

☐ INTERIM

☒ FINAL

The product specified by this contract was delivered to the Technical Monitor on 29 December 1969, eight days after the contracted delivery date.

While the product was delivered late, even after a two month contract extension, and although there was a change in scope granted at the contractor's request, the contractor did perform diligently and in my opinion, to the best of his ability. I believe, however, the contractor did seriously underestimate and underbid the task as originally described.

I further believe that the contractor expended more resources on the fulfillment of this contract than he had estimated. It is commendable that he did not ask for an overrun in view of the circumstances.

The technical quality of the delivered product is judged to be very good. The overall rating of "above average" indicated on the face of this form reflects a compromise in rating the quality of the delivered product with that of the contractor's general performance (e.g.: required change in scope, required change in delivery date, late delivery).

Approved For Release 2005/02/17 : CIA-RDP78B04767A000300010017-8

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

☐ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ SECRET

Fil 610105

MEMORANDUM FOR:

*This is first report
submitted by ASO.
It was rejected (suggested
that charge of lateness
be reconsidered) by*

[Redacted]

STATOTHR